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Executive Summary

KEY FINDINGS:

1. Unions of public sector workers comprise nearly half of the labor movement nationally and more than half of it in New Jersey (page 6).

2. Public union membership in New Jersey has grown from 296,000 in 1988 to 371,000 in 2018—a 25 percent increase overall (page 7).

3. Private sector employment grew by 9 percent in New Jersey between 1998 and 2018 (page 18), while private sector union membership fell by nearly 40 percent, from 484,000 to 294,000 (page 7).

4. But construction employment rose by 31 percent from 154,300 to 204,300 (page 17) and construction union membership rose from 53,500 to 58,000.

5. Manufacturing employment in New Jersey also fell by 40 percent between 1998 and 2018 (page 18). But manufacturing union memberships fell by 90 percent (page 9).

6. Between 1988 and 2018, the number of federal employees in New Jersey fell 25 percent (page 17) and the number of unionized federal employees by 47 percent (page 8).

7. Meanwhile, the number of unionized state and local government employees rose by 33 percent and 6 percent, respectively (page 8).

DETAILED FINDINGS:

1. There are 15 million union members in the United States, 665,000 in New Jersey (page 1).

2. New Jersey ranks 6 out of the 50 states for “density” of union representation—the ratio of union members to the labor force—and 7 out of 50 for the number of union members (page 2).

3. The size and density of the union movement in both the US and New Jersey have been declining for several years. But the decline in size has been much slower than the decline in density (pages 3 and 4).

4. Why? Because while wage earners want unions and the public generally supports them, government policy no longer encourages their formation, and employers tenaciously resist worker efforts to secure union representation.
5. In state and local governments, where workers are freer to form unions with less interference, 50 to 60 percent of the workforce is unionized (page 5); and their number has been growing (pages 6 and 7).

6. In the private sector, where employers can and do interfere with unionization efforts more often, less than 10 percent of the workforce is unionized and this proportion has been trending downwards for many years (page 5).

7. Private sector unionization fell more rapidly than employment in declining industries and rose more slowly in expanding industries, as good union jobs in the private sector become increasingly scarce (pages 9 and 18).

8. Unionization rates also vary with occupations. 56 percent of uniformed protective service employees, 49 percent of educators and 37 percent of construction workers are unionized (page 10).

9. In comparison, only 7 percent of hospitality workers and 5 percent of private sector service workers are unionized (page 10).

10. The population of the state is also changing. In 1988 75 percent of New Jerseyans identified as white. In 2018 only 56 percent do (page 11).

11. In that period, both employment in New Jersey grew by 10 percent, though more rapidly for men than women, and non-whites than whites (pages 12 & 13).

12. Also, all the growth of non-white employment was in the private sector. Non-white public sector employment actually decreased, despite the rapid growth. Of the non-white pollution in New Jersey (page 13).

13. The white population of New Jersey is nonetheless more heavily represented in the workforce and the unions than the non-white population (page 14).

14. Between 1998 and 2018, the non-white share of the union movement fell slightly from 23 percent to 21 percent (page 15).

15. Meanwhile, the share of women in unions rose from 44 percent to 46 percent (page 16).

16. The best targets of opportunity for expanding worker voice in the New Jersey economy are the sizeable number of health practitioners and transportation workers, including material handlers at the proliferating distribution centers of the new “gig economy” (page 17).
US and NJ Union Density Today

There are 15 million union members in the United States, 665,000 of them in New Jersey. These figures represent 10.7 percent and 15.7 percent, respectively, of the entire US and NJ non-farm work force. This ratio of members to the workforce is known as “union density.”

The pie chart below is a representation of the relative densities of the New Jersey and the US labor movements.

We follow the convention of reporting union density as a proportion of all non-farm employees. But this understates the movement’s reach.

Across the country, at least one-third of the private sector and more than half of the public sector workforce is barred by law from union membership.* The relative size of those barred is shown on the left.

This ineligibility is due to excluding private sector managers, supervisors and independent contractor from the National Labor Relations Act, and to various state and municipal exclusions in the public sector.

In New Jersey, where there are no significant restrictions on public sector unionization, the share of excluded workers is most likely a bit smaller.

Adjusted for eligibility, union density is closer to 16 percent, or one worker out of every seven, of the eligible workforce nationally and nearly 25 percent, or one worker out of every four, in New Jersey.

Top 10 States by Union Density and Membership

Looking more closely at union density and membership by state, New Jersey ranks sixth among all the states in terms of density and seventh in terms of size.

Table 2. Top Ten States by Total Union Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total # Union Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>2,489,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2,016,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>828,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>664,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>659,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>634,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>628,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>582,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>542,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>479,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>14,811,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(These estimates were arrived at by different methods and are slightly lower.)
Trends in US and NJ Union Membership, 1988-2018

The relative persistence of union membership is evident from the more recent data on union membership. We still see some decline. But compared to the loss of density due to continued employer resistance, the decline is modest.

Between 1988 and 2018, US union density fell from just over 17 to just under 15 million. During the same period, union membership in New Jersey fell from about 825,000 in 1998 to about 665,000 in 2017.

This decline is associated with the disappearance of good union jobs in manufacturing and other traditional centers of union strength, as well as the rapid growth of jobs in the non-unionized service sector.

Employer resistance and government inaction have made it much more difficult for service sector workers in the private sector to secure union voice and protection. In the public sector, in contrast, where workers have not had to contend with entrenched employer opposition, union organizing efforts have been markedly more successful.
Trends in US and NJ Union Density, 1988-2018

The effect of employer opposition to union expansion is evident in the trends in union density, which show a steeper decline than those in union membership.

The passage of the Taft-Hartley Act in 1947, which set the legal precedent for so-called right-to-work laws and restricted many of the tactics that workers could use to organize, made it harder for the unions to expand and grow as the economy expanded and grew.

Unions have mostly been able to hold onto the gains they made during the first half of the 20th century. But they have had a harder time securing the right to “actual liberty of contract” and a voice at work for service workers, especially in the private sector.

As can be seen from the chart on the next page, in the public sector, where employers are less actively hostile to union representation, 50 percent or more of the workforce is organized. But in the private sector, where employers are more actively hostile and the law is less favorable, expansion has been stalled.
New Jersey Union Density, by Sector, 1988-2018

That employer opposition is the primary barrier to unionization is evident from the difference in union density between the public sector, where employees are afforded a freer choice to unionize, and the private sector, where they are not.

Union density in the New Jersey public sector since 1988 is equivalent to the density achieved in the private sector in the 1940s and 50s, before employers succeeded in hobbling union organizing efforts.

Until recently, these unions have also been both relatively stable and growing. There is no evidence that non-managerial employees would rather lose than keep their unions, where they have them, and plenty of evidence that they generally want to join them, where they do not.

The recent Supreme Court decision in *Janus v. AFSCME District 31*, which held mandatory agency fees unconstitutional, may affect this equation. One thing to watch will be how much of an effect the decision actually has on union membership and public sector density.
US Union Membership, by Sector, 1988-2018

Public employees were denied union representation and bargaining rights until the middle of the 20th century. But since then, they have become an increasingly important part of the union movement.

Between 1988 and the present, while private sector membership declined nationally from 10.7 million to 7.6 million, public sector membership grew from 6.3 to 7.3 million.

As a result, nearly half of all union members are now government employees, and a majority of them are women.*

We look more closely at the profile of public sector workers and union members in New Jersey below.

NJ Union Membership, by Sector, 1988-2018

Between 1988 and 2018, overall union membership in New Jersey fell from about 820,000 to 665,000. But while private sector union membership fell by 43 percent during this 30-year period, public sector membership increased by 25 percent. Public sector unions now represent more than 50 percent of the New Jersey labor movement.

![New Jersey Union Membership, 1988-2018](image)

One of the primary reasons unions are growing in the public sector is that employer hostility is a less prominent factor in the decision to form a union. Government workers in NJ have more freedom to unionize.

But this freer choice is not universally enjoyed. The right to union representation and to collective bargaining in the public sector varies from state to state, with some encouraging them more than others.*

The muted effect of free choice in the face of private employer opposition is starkly evident in the membership trends depicted on the last two pages. At least 50 percent of NJ public employees have been stably unionized since 1988. In the private sector, however, density has fallen from 18 percent to 8 percent today.

Change in NJ Public Sector Union Membership

Public sector union membership in New Jersey grew from 296,000 in 1988 to a peak of 408,000 in 2008, before austerity measures credited to recession caused a decline to its current total of 371,000. Still, a 25 percent increase overall.

Different levels of government and occupations were affected differently, however, as can be seen from the chart below.

Between 1998 and 2018, federal union membership fell by 47 percent, while state and local union membership grew by 33 percent and 6 percent, respectively.

The bulk of these increases were in education and health care, which added 23,400 members at the state level and 19,400 members at the local level.

The trends in private sector unionization present a different picture, however, as can be seen on the next page.
Between 1998 and 2018, private sector union membership in New Jersey fell by nearly 40 percent, from 484,000 to 294,000. Moreover, union membership generally fell faster than employment. In short, high-wage union jobs were vulnerable to the changing economy. This difference was especially evident in manufacturing, where employment fell by 34 percent, while union membership fell by 90 percent.

These shifts produced a smaller, slightly different profile for private sector unions.

**Personal Services** = education, health, leisure, hospitality and other.

**Business Services** = information, financial and professional services.

**Trade** = wholesale, retail, utilities and transportation and utilities.

**Production** = construction and manufacturing.
Which NJ Occupations are the Most Unionized?

Another interesting angle on the state of the New Jersey union movement is afforded by data on the union density of different occupational categories.

As can be seen from the chart below, some jobs are more heavily unionized than others.

Note: The data include both private- and public-sector workers.

There is also a clear pattern to this distribution. Non-managerial employees who enjoy greater autonomy, like teachers, construction workers and drivers, or are concerned with personal well-being, like the protective services and healthcare practitioners, are more heavily unionized than those with less autonomy or closer supervision.

The population of New Jersey is also changing.

Thirty years ago, three-quarters of the state’s residents identified themselves as non-Hispanic whites. Today, the proportion who do so is barely more than half.

Over the same 30 years, the proportion who identify themselves as Black has stayed at 15 percent, while those identifying as Hispanic has doubled from 8 percent to 16 percent, and those identifying as Asian has risen from 3 percent to 10 percent.

Also, a small number (3 percent) fit none of these categories.

The changing racial and ethnic makeup of the population is also reflected in the employment and union density figures we have been exploring, as can be seen on the next page.
Change in New Jersey Employment, 1998-2018
By Race and Private Industry Group

The change in private and public sector employment by race is shown below. Public sector employment expanded the most for whites, private sector for non-whites. In other words, public sector cutbacks affected non-whites more than whites.

The private sector gain for non-whites was in service jobs, a few in better paying business services, most in lower-wage personal services.
The change in private and public sector employment by gender is shown below. Both men and women saw employment gains, but men more than women.

In the private sector, the industry composition of the workforce changed more for men than it did for women.
Racial and Ethnic Distribution of New Jersey Workforce and Union Membership in 2017

The share of different racial and ethnic groups in the workforce roughly mirrors their share in the population. But there are striking differences between the composition of the workforce and union membership.

The outer ring of the graph below represents the share of different groups in the New Jersey workforce. The inner ring represents their share in the state’s estimated number of union members.

Black workers are significantly more likely and white workers slightly more likely to belong to unions than other groups, while Asian workers are much less likely, and Hispanics slightly less likely, to do so.

The balance shifts when we look at the population share of different groups as presented on the previous page and compare it to their share of the workforce in the outer ring here. Whites are a larger share of the workforce than they are of the population (56 percent), while blacks (at 11 percent and 15 percent, respectively), are a smaller share, reflecting the higher rates of unemployment in black communities.
NJ Union Membership by Sector and Race

There were also significant changes in the distribution of union membership between 1998 and 2018. White public sector union membership grew by 12 percent, even as membership fell for whites in the private sector and for non-whites in both sectors.
NJ Union Membership by Sector and Gender

There were also significant differences between men and women with respect to union membership. From 1998 to 2018, private sector membership dropped 40 percent among men and 37 percent among women, while public sector membership grew 11 percent among men but only 1 percent among women.

As a result of these shifts, even though men are still a small majority of all NJ unionists (54 percent), a majority of New Jersey union members (56 percent) are public employees and the modal or most common member of the movement is a female public servant.
The Occupational Distribution of Employment and Unionization in New Jersey

Here is a final look at the distribution of union jobs in New Jersey, which includes information about the relative size of each occupational category.

The categories themselves are listed below, with the estimated density of union representation in the category. The largest occupations, in management, office, and sales have the lowest unionization rates. These occupations also have a large proportion of job classifications that are ineligible for unionization.

1. Management (5.4 %)
2. Office/Admin. (12.8 %)
3. Sales (5.7 %)
4. Education (49 %)
5. Business/Finance (4.5 %)
6. Health Practitioners 19.1 %)
7. Transportation (19.4 %)
8. Computer/Math (1%)
9. Food (6.8 %)
10. Production (15.5 %)
11. Construction (37.2 %)
12. Building/Grounds (23.1 %)
13. Personal Services (4.7 %)
14. Install/Maintenance (27.7 %)
15. Protective Services (56.2 %)
16. Health Support (8.1 %)

The best targets of opportunity for expanding worker voice in the New Jersey economy are obviously health practitioners (6) and transportation workers (7), which include material handlers at a growing number of distribution centers.
## APPENDIX 1. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Industry and Sector, 1998-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>225.6</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANUFACTURING</td>
<td>495.6</td>
<td>423.3</td>
<td>327.3</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOLESALE/RETAIL</td>
<td>740.1</td>
<td>550.0</td>
<td>544.1</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPORTATION/UTILITIES</td>
<td>198.6</td>
<td>174.9</td>
<td>220.3</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFORMATION</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCIAL</td>
<td>311.9</td>
<td>350.2</td>
<td>406.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROFESSIONAL/BUSINESS SERVICES</td>
<td>413.3</td>
<td>497.3</td>
<td>555.8</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION/HEALTH SERVICES</td>
<td>472.4</td>
<td>586.6</td>
<td>668.4</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEISURE/HOSPITALITY</td>
<td>181.5</td>
<td>320.0</td>
<td>304.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES (except Pub. Admin.)</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</td>
<td>3228.9</td>
<td>3396.9</td>
<td>3519.7</td>
<td>484.0</td>
<td>344.8</td>
<td>294.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>155.6</td>
<td>171.1</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>103.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Health</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other State Government</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>338.4</td>
<td>420.9</td>
<td>375.6</td>
<td>227.7</td>
<td>282.1</td>
<td>242.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Health</td>
<td>207.9</td>
<td>266.5</td>
<td>239.5</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>192.5</td>
<td>174.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Local Government</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</td>
<td>572.4</td>
<td>651.6</td>
<td>617.6</td>
<td>352.6</td>
<td>407.5</td>
<td>370.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL NONFARM                  | 3801.3| 4048.5| 4137.3| 836.6 | 752.3 | 664.5 |


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
### APPENDIX 1a. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Industry, Sector and Gender, 1998-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIVATE SECTOR INDUSTRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>1634.6</td>
<td>1722.0</td>
<td>1835.8</td>
<td>1565.6</td>
<td>1674.6</td>
<td>1683.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>245.1</td>
<td>285.5</td>
<td>276.3</td>
<td>327.4</td>
<td>366.1</td>
<td>341.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NONFARM</strong></td>
<td>1879.7</td>
<td>2007.4</td>
<td>2112.1</td>
<td>1892.9</td>
<td>2040.7</td>
<td>2025.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
## APPENDIX 1b. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Industry, Sector and Age, 1998-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry/Sector</th>
<th>15-39 years old</th>
<th>40-65 years old</th>
<th>15-39 years old</th>
<th>40-65 years old</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIVATE SECTOR INDUSTRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Industries</td>
<td>293.4</td>
<td>268.2</td>
<td>196.3</td>
<td>356.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Industries</td>
<td>565.5</td>
<td>355.5</td>
<td>354.3</td>
<td>373.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Service Industries</td>
<td>469.2</td>
<td>430.8</td>
<td>439.2</td>
<td>412.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Service Industries</td>
<td>374.7</td>
<td>516.7</td>
<td>522.0</td>
<td>355.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>1702.9</td>
<td>1571.2</td>
<td>1511.8</td>
<td>1497.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government†</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Health†</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other State Government†</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>215.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Health</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>147.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Local Government</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>211.1</td>
<td>210.5</td>
<td>216.9</td>
<td>361.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NONFARM</strong></td>
<td>1914.0</td>
<td>1781.6</td>
<td>1728.7</td>
<td>1858.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


†Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRIVATE SECTOR INDUSTRY</th>
<th>PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>TRADE INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>BUSINESS SERVICE INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>PERSONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>540.1</td>
<td>555.2</td>
<td>450.3</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>787.3</td>
<td>600.3</td>
<td>580.2</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>184.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS SERVICE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>726.7</td>
<td>774.6</td>
<td>811.5</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td>254.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>690.3</td>
<td>811.0</td>
<td>887.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>241.7</td>
<td>272.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</td>
<td>2744.4</td>
<td>2741.1</td>
<td>2729.3</td>
<td>455.8</td>
<td>655.5</td>
<td>790.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC SECTOR</th>
<th>FEDERAL GOVERNMENT†</th>
<th>STATE GOVERNMENT</th>
<th>EDUCATION AND HEALTH†</th>
<th>OTHER STATE GOVERNMENT</th>
<th>TOTAL FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS SERVICE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL GOVERNMENT/SERVICE</td>
<td>285.2</td>
<td>368.3</td>
<td>318.8</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>241.8</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</td>
<td>446.5</td>
<td>543.0</td>
<td>494.1</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>123.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                         | TOTAL NONFARM | 3190.9 | 3284.1 | 3223.4 | 581.7 | 764.0 | 913.9 | 645.0 | 629.8 | 522.8 | 191.6 | 122.5 | 141.9 |


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
### APPENDIX 1d. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Industry, Sector and Detailed Race, 1998-2018

#### NJ Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Hispanic White</th>
<th>Hispanic White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Asian/Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE SECTOR</td>
<td>2316.1</td>
<td>2097.9</td>
<td>2175.9</td>
<td>340.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC SECTOR</td>
<td>398.4</td>
<td>475.8</td>
<td>428.1</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NONFARM</td>
<td>2714.5</td>
<td>2573.7</td>
<td>2604.0</td>
<td>382.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NJ Union Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Hispanic White</th>
<th>Hispanic White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Asian/Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE SECTOR</td>
<td>296.3</td>
<td>210.5</td>
<td>179.7</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC SECTOR</td>
<td>243.5</td>
<td>300.4</td>
<td>258.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NONFARM</td>
<td>539.8</td>
<td>510.9</td>
<td>438.4</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Comparisons of 1998 estimates to later years should be made with caution as there were changes made to the ACS occupational classification system after the 2000 Census, recoding was completed according to U.S. Census recommendations. See Scopp, Thomas S. 2003. "The Relationship Between the 1990 Census and Census 2000 Industry and Occupation Classification Systems." Technical Paper #65: U.S. Census Bureau.

† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
## APPENDIX 2. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Occupation and Sector, 1998-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCCUPATION</th>
<th>NJ Employment</th>
<th></th>
<th>NJ Union Membership</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIVATE SECTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>501.8</td>
<td>408.3</td>
<td>504.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>552.1</td>
<td>881.8</td>
<td>1049.2</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>404.3</td>
<td>553.4</td>
<td>506.8</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>1001.0</td>
<td>933.5</td>
<td>835.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>769.8</td>
<td>619.9</td>
<td>623.3</td>
<td>283.2</td>
<td>141.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIVATE SECTOR TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>3228.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>3396.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>3519.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>488.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>340.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>212.9</td>
<td>316.9</td>
<td>311.3</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>212.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC SECTOR TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>572.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>651.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>617.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>352.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>401.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NON-FARM</strong></td>
<td><strong>3801.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>4048.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>4137.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>928.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>800.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
### APPENDIX 2a. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Occupational Category, Sector, and Gender, 1998-2018

#### PRIVATE SECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management †</td>
<td>283.9</td>
<td>248.2</td>
<td>303.1</td>
<td>217.9</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>201.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>255.9</td>
<td>400.6</td>
<td>492.7</td>
<td>296.2</td>
<td>481.2</td>
<td>556.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>233.8</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>264.6</td>
<td>319.6</td>
<td>288.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>348.8</td>
<td>325.4</td>
<td>321.1</td>
<td>652.1</td>
<td>608.1</td>
<td>514.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>623.0</td>
<td>515.7</td>
<td>501.4</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>122.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>1651.2</td>
<td>1723.8</td>
<td>1837.1</td>
<td>1577.7</td>
<td>1673.1</td>
<td>1682.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PUBLIC SECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management †</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>219.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</strong></td>
<td>243.7</td>
<td>285.5</td>
<td>276.2</td>
<td>328.7</td>
<td>366.1</td>
<td>341.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NONFARM</strong></td>
<td>1894.9</td>
<td>2009.3</td>
<td>2113.4</td>
<td>1906.4</td>
<td>2039.2</td>
<td>2023.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
### APPENDIX 2b. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Occupational Category, Sector, and Age, 1998-2018

#### PRIVATE SECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management †</td>
<td>224.9</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>276.9</td>
<td>262.2</td>
<td>355.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>401.7</td>
<td>480.1</td>
<td>262.1</td>
<td>480.2</td>
<td>569.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>234.3</td>
<td>305.6</td>
<td>258.5</td>
<td>169.9</td>
<td>247.7</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>564.3</td>
<td>448.5</td>
<td>371.7</td>
<td>436.6</td>
<td>484.9</td>
<td>463.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>383.3</td>
<td>266.4</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>386.5</td>
<td>353.5</td>
<td>371.8</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR</td>
<td>1696.9</td>
<td>1568.4</td>
<td>1511.3</td>
<td>1532.0</td>
<td>1828.5</td>
<td>2008.4</td>
<td>205.3</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>224.7</td>
<td>189.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PUBLIC SECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management †</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>140.1</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>191.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>152.2</td>
<td>132.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>210.5</td>
<td>218.7</td>
<td>363.2</td>
<td>441.1</td>
<td>398.9</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>239.3</td>
<td>277.8</td>
<td>250.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NONFARM</td>
<td>1906.1</td>
<td>1778.9</td>
<td>1729.9</td>
<td>1895.2</td>
<td>2269.6</td>
<td>2407.4</td>
<td>318.5</td>
<td>239.4</td>
<td>221.3</td>
<td>522.3</td>
<td>502.5</td>
<td>440.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.
## APPENDIX 2c. New Jersey Employment and Unionization by Occupational Category, Sector, and Race, 1998-2018

### PRIVATE SECTOR


| Management † | 429.7 | 349.2 | 404.1 | 72.1 | 59.1 | 100.6 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| Professional | 445.9 | 639.1 | 754.8 | 106.2 | 242.8 | 294.4 | 33.4 | 57.3 | 42.7 | 12.4 | 19.1 | 15.2 |
| Service      | 311.9 | 423.6 | 385.0 | 92.3 | 129.8 | 121.8 | 41.5 | 30.8 | 26.7 | 18.0 | 22.3 | 13.7 |
| Office       | 829.3 | 794.3 | 655.3 | 171.7 | 139.2 | 179.9 | 64.1 | 49.8 | 33.5 | 23.8 | 8.5  | 10.7 |
| Production   | 637.2 | 534.0 | 529.8 | 132.6 | 85.9  | 93.6  | 228.9 | 123.0 | 104.3 | 54.3 | 18.0 | 22.1 |
| **TOTAL PRIVATE SECTOR** | 2654.0 | 2740.2 | 2729.0 | 574.9 | 656.7 | 790.7 | 377.2 | 270.1 | 219.2 | 111.0 | 70.4 | 64.9 |

### PUBLIC SECTOR


| Management † | 64.8  | 28.9  | 36.7  | 21.1  | 6.9  | 10.0  | 30.0 | 10.4 | 12.1  | 8.0 | 2.8  | 3.2  |
| Professional | 153.3 | 266.7 | 248.7 | 59.6  | 50.1 | 62.6  | 107.2 | 188.5 | 164.1 | 39.9 | 24.3 | 42.5 |
| Service      | 76.1  | 100.8 | 100.1 | 29.3  | 25.8 | 17.5  | 44.7 | 62.9 | 66.3  | 19.4 | 16.5 | 10.2 |
| Office       | 86.2  | 97.4  | 64.3  | 30.8  | 20.1 | 21.7  | 52.5 | 50.1 | 34.9  | 19.4 | 14.9 | 10.2 |
| Production   | 42.3  | 44.8  | 44.2  | 8.9   | 10.1 | 11.7  | 25.7 | 27.5 | 27.2  | 6.1 | 3.3  | 6.8  |
| **TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR** | 422.6 | 538.6 | 494.1 | 149.8 | 130.9 | 123.5 | 259.9 | 339.5 | 304.6 | 92.7 | 61.9 | 72.9 |

### TOTAL


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NONFARM</strong></td>
<td>3076.6 3278.8 3223.1</td>
<td>724.7 769.7 914.2</td>
<td>637.1 609.6 523.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


† Union membership and density estimates for management occupations are less reliable as the sample size was often less than 100.